Natural antioxidants isolated from *Schinus areira* leaves by ultrasound-assisted extraction
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**Abstract**

The aim of this study was to optimize the extraction of antioxidant compounds from *Schinus areira* leaves using ultrasound assisted extraction and response surface methodology. The effect of sonication time and plant material:solvent ratio were used to optimize the recovery. Results showed that a high recovery of antioxidant compounds from leaves of three different *S. areira* specimens was achieved under optimized conditions. The leaf extracts obtained displayed a DPPH (1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity analogous to the well-known antioxidant trolox (EC\text{50} = 23-46 vs 36.1 µg/mL, respectively). In addition, these extracts showed a good potency to eliminate superoxide and nitric oxide-radicals as well as a moderate antimicrobial activity against gram positive *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis* and yeast. HPLC chromatography analysis of the three *S. areira* leaf extracts showed different high contents of kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside, quercetin-3-O-galactoside and 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid. The results showed that the *S. areira* leaf extracts contained a high amount of antioxidant phenolic compounds, which might be a valuable source to be used as additives in plant-based foods.
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**1 Introduction**

Plant antioxidants are useful as food preservatives against oxidation reactions and microbial contamination, which are considered the major causes of food deterioration (Romano, Abadi, Repetto, Vojnov, & Moreno, 2009; Losasso et al., 2012; Martins, Arantes, Candeias, Tinoco, & Cruz-Morais, 2014). Oxidative reactions also generate reactive oxygen species which are linked to carcinogenesis, inflammation, aging and cardiovascular disorders and many antiox-
idant polyphenols exhibit beneficial effects on human health (Wan, Yuan, Cirello, & Seeram, 2012; Barni, Carlini, Cafferata, Puricelli, & Moreno, 2012; Mihaylova, Ivanova, Bachevanska, & Krastanov, 2015).

Since ancient times, spices and herbs have been added to different food for improve flavours and their antioxidant capacity (Petrovska, 2012). Plants synthesize antioxidant compounds, which are mainly phenolics, to counteract reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can cause oxidative damage. They do this through different mechanisms, such as free-radical scavenging, hydrogen donation, singlet oxygen quenching, and they act as a substrate for radicals such as superoxide and hydroxyl (Moreno, Scheyer, Romano, & Vojnov, 2006; Zhao, Baik, Choi, & Kim, 2014).

Ultrasound-assisted extraction is widely employed for the extraction of plant-derived antioxidants (Cortes-Rojas, Fernandes Souza, & Oliveira, 2011; Daglia, 2012) because it is suitable to isolate thermolabile and unstable compounds and is an effective tool for optimizing the process when many factors and interactions affect desired response (Zhang, Wang, Zhang, Zhou, & Guo, 2014; Mihaylova et al., 2015).

The *Schinus* genus, a member of the Anacardiaceae family, is a plant that is distributed widely in South America regions and is used in folk medicine as a digestive, a stimulant, a diuretic, an astringent, an antidepressant, as well as for topical use and in wound healing (Martinez & Barboza, 2010). In Argentina, *Schinus areira* L. [synonymous: *Schinus molle* L. var. *areira* (L.) DC] grows naturally in pre-puna zone from an altitude of 1800 to 2300 m and in the Chaco-Serrano region (Viturro, Bandoni, Della-cassa, Serafini, & Elder, 2010). A study of essential oil components of individualized specimens of *S. areira* tree was performed in six locations in the Northwest of Argentina, regions with the highest population density of this species, revealing different clusters based on their chemical profiles (Viturro et al., 2010). Important effects of *S. areira* L. essential oils on airway inflammation and the cardiovascular system in mice and rabbits have been reported (Bigliani et al., 2012). This study also demonstrated that clinical status (moribidity or mortaility), macroscopic morphology and lung/body weight index were unaffected by the administration of the oils.

We previously demonstrated that *S. areira* essential oils isolated from leaves and fruits have antibacterial activity (Celaya, Alabrudzinska, Molina, Viturro, & Moreno, 2014). Essential oils of a related specie, *Schinus molle*, also exhibited antioxidant and antimicrobial properties (Martins et al., 2014).

In this study, we optimized the extraction of non-volatile antioxidant compounds from *S. areira* leaves by ultrasound-assisted extraction, in order to determine the potential of these plants as sources of natural antioxidants. Furthermore, we reported the hydroxyl, nitric oxide and superoxide radical scavenging as well as the antibacterial activities of leaves optimized extracts from different *S. areira* specimens. The chemical composition of these leaf extracts was also determined.

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Materials

*S. areira* leaves of different specimens: Tilcara g (Tg2), Tilcara c (Tce6) and Pinchayoc (Py1) were collected in May 2013 at different locations in Quebrada de Humahuaca (Jujuy province, Argentina). Voucher specimens were deposited in herbarium of PRONOA-UNJu (Faculty of Engineering from National University of Jujuy, Argentina). The harvested materials were air-dried at room temperature (20 °C) for 1 week.

3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-O-galactoside, quercetin-3-O-glucoside, quercetin-3-O-rutinoside, quercetin-3-O-arabinoside, quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside standards were purchased from Extrasynthese (Genay, France). Gallic acid, 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced form (NADH), phenazinemethosulfate (PMS), nitrotetrazolium blue chloride (NBT) and gentamicin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).
2.2 Ultrasound-assisted extraction

S. areira leaves (5 g) were macerated in a 250 mL glass flask, and 100 mL of aqueous ethanol: (30:70 %, v/v) was added. Aqueous ethanol solutions are suitable for extracting hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds by enabling the propagation of ultrasonic waves (Tabaraki, Heidarizadi, & Benvidi, 2012). Ultrasound-assisted extraction was performed using an ultrasonic device (Cole-Parmer 8890 Instrument, USA) with a power of 80 W at a frequency of 47 kHz. It was equipped with a digital timer and run at room temperature. The water in the bath was replaced continuously to keep it close to room temperature. A 32 factorial experimental design was used for the extraction of antioxidant compounds. Twelve sets of experiments were performed using two independent variables: X1 = sonication time (min) and X2 = solid to solvent ratio (g/100 mL) with coded levels (-1, 0, +1): 15, 45, 75 min and 5, 7.5 and 10 g/100 mL, respectively. Extract concentration (mg/mL); yield (%) extract/sample dry weight and DPPH radical scavenging activity (EC\(_{50}\) value) were the three dependent variables employed. The EC\(_{50}\) value was determined as described in Item 2.3. After the extraction was finished, the extracts were filtered through Whatman No 1 filter paper. The extract was immediately assessed or kept in refrigerator in closed dark containers at 4 °C at a maximum time of 1 month. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation from at least three assays, each performed in triplicate.

2.3 Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity was evaluated by the DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) radical method. The ability of the S. areira leaf extracts to donate an electron and scavenge DPPH radical was determined by the method of Brand-Williams, Cuvelier, and Berset (1995). Briefly, a DPPH solution (0.3 mM) was freshly prepared protected from light and 180 µL of this solution were added to each well of 96-well flat-bottom microtitration plates (Greiner bio-one, GBO Argentina) containing samples of 15.6–1000.0 µg/mL leaf extracts. The absorbance of the samples was measured (Epoch microplate spectrophotometer, BIO TeK) and compared with the blank sample. Percentage inhibition after 30 min was plotted against concentration. The percentage inhibition of DPPH was determined according to the formula: (%) = [(A0 - A)/A0] x 100, where A0 and A are the absorbance values of the blank sample and the test, respectively. The antioxidant activity was expressed as the effective concentration (EC\(_{50}\)) value corresponding to the concentration of sample necessary to decrease the initial DPPH absorbance by 50% as described (Moreno et al., 2006). The superoxide-radical (O\(_2\)•-) scavenging assay and the nitric oxide-radical (NO•) scavenging assay were also performed. Serial dilutions of the initial S. areira leaf extracts (46-3000.0 µg/mL) were performed covering a wide range of concentrations by suspension in potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0. O\(_2\)•- induced reduction of NBT was performed by the generation of superoxide radicals with NADH/PMS system and monitored at 560 nm during 2 min at room temperature, as described (Silva et al., 2014). The NO• scavenging activity was determined by the colorimetric assay using the Griess reagent and the chromophore formed was read at 562 nm, as described in Oliveira et al. (2009). All experiments were performed in triplicate and at least repeated three times.

2.4 Total phenolic content

Total phenols were estimated according to the Folin–Ciocalteau method (Tepe, Daferera, Sokmen, Sokmen, & Polissiou, 2005). Plant extracts were diluted to the concentration of 1 mg/mL, and aliquots of 0.5 mL were mixed with 2.5 mL of Folin–Ciocalteau reagent and 2 mL of NaHCO\(_3\) (7.5%). After 15 min of staying at the 37 °C the absorbance was measured at 765 nm on spectrophotometer versus blank sample. Total phenols were determined as grams (g) of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 g of dry extract and the values presented were means of triplicate analyses.
2.5 Antibacterial activity

*Staphylococcus aureus* American Type Culture Collection, ATCC 25922, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218 and yeast (Rhodotorula sp. and Candida albicans ATCC 90029) were used in this study. In order to reach stationary growth phase, bacteria were cultured at 37 °C for 24 h in Mueller Hinton Broth (MH, Difco, MD, USA) and yeasts were cultured at 30°C in Sabouraud dextrose.

The antimicrobial activity was evaluated according to the agar diffusion method proposed by the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI, 2006), but replacing the sterile paper discs by cylindrical cavities of 4 mm in diameter performed with a micropipette tip. As a positive control gentamicin (400 µg) was used. Petri dishes were then incubated at 37 °C for 16-24 h and the halos of inhibition were measured using a caliper. All assays were performed at least in triplicate and data presented as mean ± standard deviation.

A micro-dilution technique using 96-well microplates was used for quantitative evaluations (CLSI, 2006). Plant extracts (50–800 µg/mL) were assayed in 96-well plates with bacterial strain at a final density of 5 x 10^5 CFU/mL. The microplates were aseptically sealed and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h under constant shaking (100 rpm). Bacterial growth was determined by measuring the absorbance at 625 nm on a plate reader as described by Ojeda-Sana, van Baren, Elechosa, Juarez, and Moreno (2013). The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was the lowest extract concentration at which bacterial growth was inhibited after 24 h incubation at 37 °C. The minimum bactericide concentration (MBC) was the lowest concentration of the plant extract that killed bacteria. All experiments were performed at least three times and in triplicate.

2.6 Antibacterial activityChemical analysis by HPLC-DAD

Separation of phenolics was performed with an analytical HPLC unit (Gilson). Twenty microliters (25 to 75 mg/mL) of each extract dissolved in methanol, that had been previously filtered through a 0.45 µm polycrylfluoroethylene membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA), was applied on a using a Spherisorb ODS2 (25.0 cm × 0.46 cm; 5 µm particle size; Waters, Milford, MA) column, as described in Cabana, Silva, Valentao, Viturro, and Andrade (2013). The solvent system used was a gradient of water-formic acid (19:1) (A) and methanol (B): 0 - 5% B, 15% B at 3 min, 25% B at 13 min, 30% B at 25 min, 35% B at 35 min, 40% B at 39 min, 45% B at 42 min, 50% B at 44 min, 55% B at 47 min, 70% B at 50 min, 75% B at 56 min and 80% B at 60 min. The solvent flow rate used was 0.9 mL/min. Detection was achieved with a Gilson diode array detector (DAD), and chromatograms were recorded at 280, 320 and 350 nm. The data were processed on Unipoint System software (Gilson Medical Electronics, Villiers le-Bel, France). The compounds in each sample were identified by comparing the retention times and UV–visible spectra in the range of 200 – 400 nm with those of authentic standards, or with the library of spectra previously collected. The quantification of phenolic compounds was achieved by the absorbance recorded in the chromatograms relative to external standards and performed in triplicate as described in Vinha et al. (2002). The limit values for phenolic compounds were between 0.04 and 4.30 µg/mL and the method was precise. 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid was quantified as 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid and quercetin derivatives as quercetin-3-O-glucoside, since standards were not commercially available. The other compounds were quantified as themselves. Phenolic compounds were expressed in µg/g leaves extract and the relative percentage (%) was calculated.

2.7 Statistical analysis

The experimental design was performed using Statgraphic Plus Software Version 5.1 (Manugistics, Rockville, MD, USA); a quadratic prediction was used for optimizing the antioxidant extraction. Significant effects (p-value < 0.05) were defined using standardized Pareto chart for dropping coefficients without statistical significance. EC50 and MIC50 values were calculated by ap-
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Table 1 shows the $3^2$ factorial experimental designs using as dependent variables: the DPPH radical scavenging activity extract concentration, extract yield and as function of sonication time and solid to solvent ratio ($X_1$ and $X_2$) with 4 replicates of the centre point applied to recover antioxidant compounds from *S. areira* leaves. Leaves from Tg2 *S. areira* specimen were selected, since it is a well characterized specimen from the $\beta$-phellandrene chemotype of essential oil fruits (Viturro et al., 2010). Parameters for linear and quadratic effects and their interactions were analyzed and statistical significance of the variable responses were performed by standardized Pareto chart and fitness of the prediction inspected by the coefficient $R^2$ at 95% confidence level (for $p$-value < 0.05). Results of variance analysis for response surface of leaves extract concentration, yield and DPPH radical-scavenging activity showed $R^2$ values of 79.4, 77.6 and 73.5, respectively. Following this, the 3D response surface model was used to evaluate the interaction of the independent variables to define the optimum level of each variable evaluated. Response surface optimization is more advantageous than the traditional single parameter optimization since it saves time, space and raw material. In Fig. 1A and B, the predicted results show that an increment in the sonication time improved the leaf extract concentrations and yields (Fig. 1A and B). On the other hand, the antioxidant activity was highest at the minor sonication time and dropped to a minimum between 30-40 min of sonication time, probably by induction of oxidative conversion of antioxidant compounds on longer extraction times (Figure 1C).

Data for the predicted and experimental values of the dependent variables analyzed are shown in Table 2. The predicted value for the recovery of the highest antiradical activity of leaf extracts ($EC_{50} = 22.2 \mu g/mL$) using a sonication time of 15 min and a solid to solvent ratio of 5 g/100 mL matched with the experimental value obtained previously ($EC_{50} = 23.3 \mu g/mL$, see Table 1). The same experimental design was applied to obtain antioxidants from fruits, but 10 times less antioxidant activity was found in fruit extracts. Therefore, our findings confirmed good significance for the response of variables chosen to extract antioxidant compounds from *S. areira* leaves obtaining ethanolic leaf extracts with a high free-radical scavenging activity close to the activity of the well-known antioxidant Trolox ($EC_{50}$ value of 36.1 ± 0.3 $\mu g/mL$).

**3.2 Recovery of antioxidant compounds from leaves of different *S. areira* specimens**

It is important to investigate whether the optimal conditions described above to extract antioxidant can be applied to other specimens of *S. areira*. To perform this study other two *S. areira* typical specimens (Tce6 and Py1) growing at different locations in the Jujuy Province were chosen. Table 3 shows that although the antioxidant activity using the DPPH assay of both leaf extracts was slightly lower compared to Tg2, they were comparable to that of Trolox. More thorough investigation of the antioxidant activity of the three optimized extracts obtained by means of their free radical scavenging effect on superoxide ($O_2\cdot$) and nitric oxide (NO$\cdot$) radicals showed that all leaf extracts had a great antiradical capability against the $O_2\cdot$ radical, though in this occasion the Tce6 leaf extract showed the most activity (Table 3). All extracts exhibited a free radical scavenging effect on nitric oxide radicals analogous to that of the ascor-
Figure 1: Response surface plots showing the effect of sonication time (X1) and solid to solvent ratio (X2) on the extract concentration (A), yield (B) and DPPH radical scavenging activity (C) of S. areira leaf extracts.

Table 1: Experimental design for recovery of antioxidant compounds from Tg2 S. areira leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiments</th>
<th>Coded levels</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>D DPPH radical scavenging activity (EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>X2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Extract concentration (mg/mL)</td>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1 (15) -1 (5.0)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (45) -1 (5.0)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (75) -1 (5.0)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>22.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1 (15) 0 (7.5)</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (45) 0 (7.5)</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>17.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 (45) 0 (7.5)</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>20.9 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 (45) 0 (7.5)</td>
<td>13.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>20.4 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (45) 0 (7.5)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>19.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 (75) 0 (7.5)</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1 (15) 1 (10.0)</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>10.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (45) 1 (10.0)</td>
<td>16.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>18.2 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 (75) 1 (10.0)</td>
<td>14.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>15.9 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolox</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>X1 = sonication time (min)
<sup>b</sup>X2 = plant material to solvent ratio (g/100 mL)
Table 2: Predicted and experimental values of dependent variables for the extraction of antioxidants from Tg2 S. areira leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Optimized conditions</th>
<th>Predicted value</th>
<th>Experimental value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extract concentration (mg/mL)</td>
<td>X1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; X2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>70.0 10.0</td>
<td>15.5 13.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.2 5.6</td>
<td>21.7 18.7 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH radical scavenging activity (EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0 5.0</td>
<td>22.2 23.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>X1 = sonication time (min)
<sup>b</sup>X2 = plant material to solvent ratio (g/100 mL)

bic acid value (EC<sub>50</sub> = 400 µg/mL) reported by Singh et al. (2012).

As a result, the optimized S. areira leaf extracts obtained in this work exhibited the ability to eliminate labile H atoms, superoxide and nitric oxide-radicals, which are ubiquitous physiological free radicals implicated in many human diseases as cancer, neurodegeneration and other pathological inflammation conditions (Sen, Chakraborty, Sridhar, Reddy, & De, 2010).

Table 3 also shows the phenolic content of the three leaf extracts analyzed by the Folin-Ciocalteau method. An equivalent amount of phenolic in Tg2 and Tce6 leaves extract specimens (p> 0.05), was found, while Py1 had a significantly lower amount (Table 3). Thus, the high content of antioxidant phenolic compounds in the leaf extracts may have been the responsible for the radical scavenging-activity observed.

### 3.3 Antimicrobial activity of S. areira leaf extracts

The antimicrobial activity of the three leaf extracts was evaluated by the disk diffusion technique towards bacteria and yeasts (Table 4). Moderate activity against the Gram-positive S. aureus and E. faecalis bacteria was observed, while no activity against the Gram-negative bacteria E. coli was observed up to the maximum concentration tested (1600µg/mL). Antiyeast activity against Candida albicans and Rhodotorula sp. was also found (Table 4).

The antibacterial activity assessed by the microdilution technique against S. aureus, and E. faecalis showed a dose-dependent inhibition activity for all the leaf extracts assessed (Figure 2). Both Tg2 and Tce6 leaf extracts totally inhibited the bacterial growth at 400 µg/mL (MICs values), while bactericidal activity was found using 2 x MIC of Tg2 and Tce6 after 24 h of incubation against S. aureus. Lower antimicrobial activity for Py1 leaf extract was observed.

The bactericidal activity of essential oils isolated from fruits and leaves of Tg2 and Py1 S. areira specimens against susceptible and methicillin resistant S. aureus strains was previously reported by us (Celaya et al., 2014). Data from this work indicated that the ethanolic leaf extract of those specimens, as well as the Tce6 S. areira plant studied here, also exhibited antibacterial and anti-yeast activity.

All findings showed that both antimicrobial and antioxidant activities co-exist in the same extract for the three S. areira specimens analyzed. Accordingly, antioxidant activity and anti-yeast activity in methanol extracts of Schinus molle growing in northeast Mexico was also found (Salazar-Aranda, Alejandro Perez-Lopez, Lopez-Arroyo, Alicia Alanis-Garza, & Waksman de Torres, 2011).

### 3.4 HPLC analysis of S. areira leaf extracts

The main peaks identified in the Tg2 S. areira specimen by HPLC analysis were quercetin-3-O-galactoside and 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid (compound 8 and 2, respectively; Figure 3), while kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside was the predominant constituent in Tce6 and Py1 extracts (compound...
Table 3: Free radical scavenging activity and total phenolic content of Tg2, Tce6 and Py1 S. areira optimized leaves extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assays</th>
<th>Tg2</th>
<th>Tce6</th>
<th>Py1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EC\textsubscript{50} (µg/mL)</strong></td>
<td>23.3 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>39.4 ± 0.4**</td>
<td>43.9 ± 0.6***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH•</td>
<td>60.6 ± 2.0***</td>
<td>38.5 ± 1.0*</td>
<td>53.9 ± 1.1***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}•−</td>
<td>457.6 ± 12.1*</td>
<td>420.0 ± 15.3*</td>
<td>483.0 ± 42.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenolics</td>
<td>29 ± 1.04*</td>
<td>29 ± 0.93*</td>
<td>18 ± 7.5**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a The same sign in the line indicates no statistical difference (Tukey’s HSD test, p > 0.05)
*b Singh et al. (2012) reported an EC\textsubscript{50} = 400 µg/mL for the ascorbic acid

Table 4: Antimicrobial activity of Tg2, Tce6 and Py1 S. areira leaves extracts by the agar diffusion method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>E. faecalis</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>Rhodotorula sp</th>
<th>C. albicans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tg2 (400 µg)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tce6 (400 µg)</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.8*</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.8*</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py1 (400 µg)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.5**</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin (400 µg)</td>
<td>32.5 ± 1.7***</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.7**</td>
<td>20 ± 0.8</td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sorbate (400 µg)</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a The same sign in the column indicates no statistical difference (p > 0.05 Tukey’s HSD test)
*b Not active
*c Not tested

d Table 5: Quantification of phenolic compounds in Tg2, Tce6 and Py1 S. areira leaf extracts (µg/g dry extracts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds\textsuperscript{a,b}</th>
<th>Tg2</th>
<th>Tce6</th>
<th>Py1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic acid derivative 1</td>
<td>1108.1 ± 3.6 (2.7%)</td>
<td>4609.6 ± 70.8 (6.4%)</td>
<td>12525.0 ± 2.0 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-O-cafeoylquinic acid</td>
<td>8523.0 ± 39.5 (21%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-O-cafeoylquinic acid</td>
<td>3168.2 ± 7.7 (7.8%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin derivative 1</td>
<td>721.3 ± 6.1 (1.78%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin derivative 2</td>
<td>594.8 ± 3.3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic acid derivative 2</td>
<td>574.0 ± 15.0 (1.4%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin derivative 3</td>
<td>8775.5 ± 21.2 (12.2%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-galactoside</td>
<td>4698.4 ± 34.5 (6.5%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-glucoside</td>
<td>31162.3 ± 1.1 (47.2%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-arabinoside</td>
<td>1143.4 ± 1.7 (3.5%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside</td>
<td>1129.9 ± 2.3 (2.8%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside</td>
<td>1102.7 ± 8.1 (2.6%)</td>
<td>4153.7 ± 8.1 (5.8%)</td>
<td>1956.8 ± 14.2 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>40596.5</td>
<td>71894.3</td>
<td>66086.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of three assays
*b Relative percentage of compounds in brackets
*c Total phenolic compounds
*d Non quantified
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Figure 2: Antibacterial activity of *S. areira* leaf extracts against *S. aureus* (A) and *E. faecalis* (B) by the microdilution assay.

13; Figure 3). Table 5 shows the quantification of these main constituents in the *S. areira* leaf extracts. Results showed that three chemical profiles in the *S. areira* leaf extracts can be recognized: Tg2 extract rich in quercetin-3-O-galactoside (46.2%) with 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (21.0%), Py1 extract rich in kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (47%) with 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (19.8%) and Tce6 extract rich in kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (60.1%). Other minor components were quercetin and phenolic acid derivatives. We do not know yet whether the differences in chemical composition of the three leaves extracts are due to different plant growth conditions or because they are genetically determined.

Taking into the account the results presented herein, it could inferred that the effectiveness of *S. areira* leaf extracts as antioxidant and antibacterial agents depend on an adequate range and concentration of bioactive compounds. Accordingly, the high antioxidant activity exhibited by Tg2 leaf extracts against DPPH radical may possibly be associated with its high content of quercetin-3-O-galactoside and 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid. Quercetin, one of the flavonoids found widely in the human diet, has been reported to have many health benefits and it is a promising agent for the prevention of systemic inflammatory diseases such as sepsis (Chang, Tsai, Sheu, Hsieh, & Chiang, 2013). In addition, the antioxidant properties of hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives, like 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, are well-known (Marzouk, Moharram, Haggag, Ibrahim, & Badary, 2006; Ibrahim & Haggag, 2013; Teixeira & Silva, 2013). On the other hand, the best antiradical scavenger against O$_2^\cdot$- and NO$^\cdot$ exhibited by the Tce6 leaf extracts could be associated with the highest amount of kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside. Both quercetin and kaempferol have been found to protect cerebellar cells from oxidative damage and apoptosis by scavenging hydroxyl radicals and kaempferol exhibit strong alkylperoxyl radical scavenging activity in vitro (Chen et al., 1999; Shahidi & Naczk, 2003). We cannot discount some type of synergism between the active compounds and/or with minor components of the leaf extracts.

4 Conclusions

In the search for natural alternatives to synthetic additives used for food preservation, we reported here suitable experimental conditions using ultrasound-assisted extraction to obtain leaf extracts of different *S. areira* specimens exhibiting a high antioxidant activity. Importantly, our results have demonstrated for the first time, the ability of the *S. areira* leaf extracts to eliminate superoxide and nitric oxide-radicals, which are ubiquitous physiological free radicals implicated in many human diseases. From a practical point of view, our results indicate that the *S. areira* leaf extracts containing a high amount of flavonols and hydroxycinnamic acids may be an interesting source of antioxidant compounds for food applications in plant-based products.
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Figure 3: Characteristic chromatogram of *S. areira* extracts after HPLC analysis on the left. Numbers corresponding to: phenolic acid derivative (1); 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (2); 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (3); quercetin derivative 1 and 2 (4 and 5); phenolic acid derivative 2 (6); quercetin derivative 3 (7); quercetin-3-O-galactoside (8); quercetin-3-O-glucoside (9); quercetin derivative (10); quercetin-3-O-arabinoside (11); quercetin 3-O-rhamnoside (12); kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside (13). Molecular structures of 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (compound 2), quercetin-3-O-galactoside (compound 8) and kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (compound 13) on the right.
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