Food innovation and entrepreneurship in higher education: a case study
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Abstract

Food innovation and entrepreneurship are important topics in graduate food studies. Students should be challenged to promote an innovative attitude towards their future career in the food industry sector, as professionals working in a small and medium-sized enterprise, or in a large multinational company, or even as entrepreneurs with their own working projects. The present case study shows a curricular unit of a master course that intends to integrate the knowledge on new and sustainable technologies and products, based on seminars of experts on hot topics, on visits to food industry enterprises and market expositions and on the development of a state-of-the-art report about an emergent or novel food technology or product with oral presentation. Hot topic seminars included edible coatings, bio-processing, allying tradition and innovation in food products, new convenience foods, challenging tests, and new clean and sustainable processes. Entrepreneur alumni lectures were also promoted allowing exchange of experiences. Visits included a high pressure technology unit of a food industry, an innovation and development department of a food industry, an entrepreneurship centre and a food exhibition. A satisfaction survey was made, through the response of a questionnaire by the students, proving the effectiveness and success of this unit course framework. A SWOT analysis was carried out to gain a better understanding of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats involved in the curricular unit objectives.
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1 Introduction

Innovation through the creation and use of knowledge to create value has been recognised as a key driver of economic growth (Winger & G., 2006). Thus, the characterization of the food industry is important to understand the constraints and challenges to innovation in food enterprises. Food and drink (for simplicity of language, drink will be included in the term food) industry is the largest manufacturing industry sector (14.6 %) in the European Union (EU) with a turnover in 2012 of more than €1 trillion (€1,048 billion) and 1.8 % of EU gross value added. The share of the turnover to research
and development (R&D) was of only 0.27% in 2010 (FoodDrinkEurope, 2014). It is a very fragmented sector with 286,000 enterprises and is the largest employer in EU manufacturing industry with 4.24 million people (FoodDrinkEurope, 2014). The number of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), with less than 250 employees, contributes to 99.1% of total businesses. Sectorially, the smallest percentage is in the dairy product sub-sector (97.7%) and the highest percentage in the bakery and flour product sub-sector (99.6%) (FoodDrinkEurope, 2012). Even though there is such a high quantity of SMEs, their contribution to the total food industry turnover has only just the first time in a decade exceeded 50% (51.6%) (FoodDrinkEurope, 2014).

Though the food sector is the largest sector of manufacturing industry in terms of turnover and value added, when analysing the top 1,000 EU companies, the food industry investment in R&D is the lowest one (€2.2 billion), representing only 1.5% of the total EU manufacturing industry investment in 2011 (FoodDrinkEurope, 2014).

Innovation is the application of ideas, technology and processes in novel ways to gain a competitive advantage and create value (Saguy, 2011). Thus, it is now recognized that innovation in SME is both crucial and is a significant challenge (Sarkar & Costa, 2008). Similarly, internationalization is a significant determinant of innovation (Karantininis, Sauer, & Furtan, 2010). Knowledge transfer from the research environment to SME in the food producing sector is crucial for competitiveness and even survival (Braun & Hadwiger, 2011).

From a competitive perspective, the shift from a manufacturing-based to a technology-innovation and knowledge-based economy requires new skills among organizational employees. According to executive staff in enterprises it is known that food engineers and scientists need to be entrepreneurial. As competition and costs rise, research and development efforts must clearly contribute to business growth and the company bottom line. Thus, companies are looking for technical professionals who may generate new ideas and new businesses.

Thus, food innovation and entrepreneurship are important topics in graduate food studies (Earle, 1997; Grunert et al., 2008). Students should be challenged to promote an innovative attitude towards their future career in the food industry sector, as professionals working in a small and medium enterprise, or in a large multinational company, or even as entrepreneurs with their own working project.

The objective of this work is to present a case study of a curriculum unit of a master’s degree course that has been designed to integrate innovation and entrepreneurship abilities into food industry sectors with knowledge on new and sustainable technologies and products.

2 Curriculum unit description

The annual curriculum unit, named Seminar, integrated in the first year of the master’s degree course in Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Food Industry, offered by Viana do Castelo Polytechnic Institute, in Northern Portugal, will be described. This description will focus on the 2012/2013 academic year in which the 15 students who enrolled the master’s course came mainly (67%) from the bachelor’s degree course in Food Engineering run in the same institution; 87% were women, 20% were working students and 50% came directly from a bachelor’s degree with no previous professional experience.

The bachelor’s degree in Food Engineering, that has 180 ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System) in accordance with Bologna process, provides students with a solid training in essential topics for the food industry (Food Processing and Preservation, Process Control, Quality Control, Quality Management, Marketing and New Food Product Development).

The master’s course in Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Food Industry with a main scientific area of Food Science & Technology (FS&T) has 120 ECTS and semester duration. The program aims to enable students to i) design and develop one product or a food factory in an integrated way, through innovative technologies or processes, considering aspects of health, safety and legislation, together with consumer acceptance issues, and to ii) develop soft skills in research capabilities, scientific and experimental
maturity that also includes autonomy and teamwork. The ultimate goal of the master’s course of Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Food Industry is to provide engineers, business majors and scientists with the skills and knowledge required to drive innovation in today’s collaborative, global workforce, using a combination of class work, case work and real-world project activity.

The first year of the master study cycle, has the Seminar unit spanning two semesters together with single the semester units of Food Quality and Consumer, Food Quality Legislation and Certification, Food Quality and Public Health, Advanced Food Process Engineering, Innovation Project and Management and Business Financing. The second year is dedicated to a research project or training at food enterprises with which Polytechnic Institute maintains good collaborative relationships. The Seminar unit was divided in two main components. The schematic structure of the unit is presented in Figure 1. The first component was dedicated to:

i) visits to food processing industries and packaging suppliers, accompanied by a qualified professional in FS&T who explained the process,

ii) one-hour seminars on hot topics in FS&T by invited experts from academia (5 of 11) and industry (6 of 11),

iii) meetings with entrepreneurs, including alumni, who discussed their projects, challenges and difficulties and facilitated exchange of experiences; and a visit to a start-up enterprise incubator centre in the region,

iv) a visit to a food industry exhibition to showcase the dynamic of a business platform for the food sector that brings together product launches, new customers and markets, and

v) participation in a conference on training requirements for the food sector, under the auspices of a funded “COMPETE Programa Operacional Fatores de Competitividade” project.

Hot topics included edible films and coatings, bioprocessing, the association of tradition and innovation in high quality food products, new convenience foods, challenge tests, and new clean and sustainable processes (Figure 2). Trips to Food enterprise facilities included visits to a high pressure technology food industry, an innovation and development department of a food ingredient industry, a flexible plastic packaging supplier and an entrepreneurship and incubation centre that promotes and guides innovative business initiatives. Students visited Alimentaria Food Expo in Lisbon in 2013, the biggest business platform for the food, drink, distribution and hospitality sectors in Portugal.

The second main component of the Seminar unit was the development of a literature review of the state-of-the-art about an emergent or novel food technology or product and with a final individual oral presentation. The topics were selected by students and included themes on edible coatings, canning valorisation, probiotics, functional foods, vacuum chilling, case studies of innovative food products, new canning technologies, biofactories, ohmic heating, electric and light pulse technologies. Due to the structure of this curriculum unit, textbooks were not suggested in advance and, instead, the individual student was encouraged to build their own bibliographic search to obtain the latest relevant information. The available resources for bibliographic review (physical library, national catalogue, statistical databases, and online databases such as ISI, Web ok, Knowledge and Scopus) were explained to students, by a specialized personnel from the Documentation and Information Services of the Polytechnic Institute, in order to guide and improve quality of information search procedures. The assessment method was divided into 20% for participation in seminars and visits, and 80% for the individual written report.

3 Student satisfaction questionnaire-based survey

To assess the student satisfaction about the Seminar unit, a questionnaire-based survey was prepared and students were asked to give their opinion in a 1-5 Likert scale, 1– very insufficient, 2–
Figure 1: Schematic representation of the curricular unit structure of Seminar

Figure 2: Titles of the hot topic seminars in 2012/2013 under the scope of the curricular unit Seminar
insufficient, 3– sufficient, 4– good and 5– very
good, about the unit’s level of general interest,
unit relevance to professional future, unit general
satisfaction interest in hot topic seminars, interest in entrepreneurship talks, interest in food industry visits, interest in Innovation report and presentation, interest in the food expo visit, the
unit’s structure, relationship between the unit
and innovation, relationship between the unit
and entrepreneurship and adequacy of the unit’s
evaluation method.
The response frequencies to the questionnaire, as
percentages, are presented in Fig. 3. A good
and very good level of general interest was re-
ported by almost 90 % of students and 67 %
considered it relevant for professional future. Ap-
proximately 90 % and 78 % of responses were
maximum preference for the food industry vis-
its and food expo visit, respectively. This high-
lights the importance of the contact with the
real professional environment. In parallel with
this questionnaire, another administered to the
master course indicated that the suitability of
its practical component was considered adequate
by 70 % and very adequate by 20 % of students.
There was a very good level of interest on vis-
its to facilities, and the development of a written
work based on a solid literature review was very
well executed by 56 % students. Hot topic sem-
inars and entrepreneurship talks were held and
achieved favourable assessment by 33 % and 67 %
of students. The unit structure, the relationship
between the unit and entrepreneurship and ade-
quacy of the evaluation method were the factors
negatively classified by some 10 % of students.

4 SWOT analysis

A SWOT analysis performed on the content and
design of the Seminar component and presented
in Fig. 4, highlights that students have a good
opportunity to come into contact and interact
with interesting subjects and with people that
may help them consolidate their knowledge and
to indicate new opportunities for their future
work. This is possible due to the wide range of
topics that were presented by experts, the im-
portant partnership with food industry, food or-
ganizations and official organisms that the Poly-
technic Institute has been consolidating over the
years, and that made possible the organization
of the visits to food factories and food organi-
zations. However the desired outcomes were not
always achieved because students did not have
enough time to develop and explore the topics.
The interaction between students and the lectur-
ers is normally short and limited to the session.
Another hurdle was that the local enterprises are
general micro enterprises with limited resources
and difficulties in receiving students and giving
them the opportunity to implement their ideas.
Nevertheless, with this Seminar unit students
and teachers were exposed to potential new ideas
and new working practices. It was also seen as an
opportunity for establishing new partnerships to
get involved in projects with financial support for
new research, development and innovation that
may lead to entrepreneur projects.

5 Recommendations and future
work

This unit structure was first implemented in the
2012/2013 academic year. Future work and
recommendations for review are important and
should be highlighted.
New topics on consumer insights for new food
products, regarding consumer profiling, data
mining and new sensory characterization tech-
niques should be explored in later available edi-
tions of the unit. The e-revolution presents
new challenges to the food sector, such as e-
commerce, QR codes and interactive marketing.
A continuous effort must be made to ensure that
future editions would include the most recent
food trends in their topics.
Higher interaction with business incubators cen-
tres is very interesting improve knowledge of the
motivations of start-up enterprises and the diffi-
culties they face, in entrepreneurship.
A survey of student’s satisfaction was presented
in this work but it would also be interesting to
interview industrial people about the visits and
seminars interactions, to project potential for im-
proved employability, meeting industrial expect-
tations and potential future improvement.
The authors intend to contact these students in
the near future (5 years after) to evaluate the
Figure 3: Descriptive analysis of student responses to questionnaire about Seminar unit satisfaction

Figure 4: SWOT analysis to the Seminar unit of the master course in Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Food Industry
relevance of this curriculum unit in their professional life.

6 Conclusions

The topics on Innovation and Entrepreneurship were developed with success in a curriculum unit of a master’s degree course with a strong practical component that included visits to enterprises, seminars, and food expo visits, among other activities. A final validation was made, through the administration of questionnaire to the students, proving the effectiveness of this unit course framework.
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