

Physicochemical characterization of Gozitan Honey

EVERALDO ATTARD* AND JOSEPH MIZZI^a

^a Division of Rural Sciences and Food Systems, Institute of Earth Systems, University of Malta, Msida, MSD 2080, Malta

*Corresponding author

everaldo.attard@um.edu.mt

TEL: +356-2340-2321

Received: 23 November 2012; Published online: 18 October 2013

Abstract

Honey quality is clearly defined in the EU Directive 2001/110/EC, and by Codex Alimentarius (Codex Stan 12-1981) and the International Honey Commission (International Honey Commission, 2002). Our investigation aimed to characterize the physicochemical properties of honey produced on a small island, Gozo which is situated near Malta. Ten randomly collected honey samples were analysed for moisture content, pH, free acidity, water insoluble content, hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) content and total phenolic compounds. Moisture content, pH, free acidity, water insoluble content, and HMF content were within the range specified in standards but the electrical conductivity was generally higher than 0.800 mS cm^{-1} . This may be the result of the relatively high atmospheric and soil salinity on this small island. All the samples analysed were within the 40 mg kg^{-1} HMF limit, which is an indicator of honey quality. The total phenolic compounds, which represent some of the constituents derived from the nectar and pollen obtained during foraging, ranged between 236.555 and 294.209 GAE kg^{-1} honey. Principal Component Analysis showed the properties of polyfloral honey samples obtained from the southern part of the island to be different from those obtained from the northern part.

Keywords: Gozitan honey; physicochemical characterization; polyphenols

1 Introduction

The isolated Maltese archipelago has a rich floral biodiversity and a distinct subspecies *Apis mellifera ruttneri* (Sheppard, Arias, Grech, & Meixner, 1997), the Maltese honey bee. Honey production in Malta has a long history, dating back millennia, and still is one of the primary industrial sectors. Improvised sites, like natural or manmade recesses or caves in the rocks, and buildings can still be found scattered throughout the Maltese countryside, and they testify to how this industry evolved over time (Crane, 1983). There is a history of use of honey by the Maltese and Gozitan people, as a remedy, which is still a common practice nowadays. Honey was used to treat constipation, eye cysts, and wounds, and

provide a source of food for weak children. However, the most common use was as a cough remedy, which was prepared by dissolving honey in warm water and then adding lemon juice or borage flowers. At times, honey was replaced by carob syrup. Honey was also mixed with orange blossom infusion to treat nervous tension and insomnia. For headaches, fever, and to clear the cornea, honey was mixed with apples, orange or lemon juice and greater celandine respectively. In preparation for labour, pregnant women were administered a mixture of honey, cloves, wine, cinnamon and other herbs (Lanfranco, 2001). This study focuses on the quality of honey produced on the island of Gozo, the second largest island of the Maltese archipelago, situated at

36°03'N 14°15'E. The island of Gozo, with approximately 31,053 inhabitants and a total surface area of 67 km², is renowned for its conserved natural habitats and beautiful landscapes. This combination provides an ideal foraging ground for the honey bee. In this study the physicochemical characteristics of polyfloral honey, produced on this island, are reported.

2 Materials and Methods

Rough rice grains (cv. Urucua), thin and long type, were harvested with a moisture Due to size limitations, the island was divided into distinct areas (Fig. 1). A sample representative of the area was randomly selected. Information on the honey samples was collected, and samples were subjected to physicochemical quality analysis.

2.1 pH and free acidity

The methods adopted by the International Honey Commission (International Honey Commission, 2002) were used for the testing of pH and free acidity. Briefly, in a 250 mL beaker, 10 g of honey was dissolved in 75 mL CO₂-free distilled water. Following pH reading, the diluted honey samples were titrated to pH 8.3 with 0.1 M NaOH. The titration was completed within two minutes as lactone hydrolysis may lead to a continuous drift of the endpoint. The acidity was expressed as milliequivalents acid kg⁻¹ honey = mL 0.1 M NaOH x 10.

2.2 Moisture content

The refractometric method was used to determine the moisture content. Homogenized honey samples were placed in a water bath at 50°C until all sugar crystals dissolved. Following cooling to 20°C, 2-3 drops of homogenized honey were transferred to the prism of the refractometer (Atago 9520, Japan). The samples were read after two minutes. The moisture content was expressed as Moisture content = 100 - Brix reading % g 100 g⁻¹ honey. Extensive studies of local honey production by the authors indicate a reciprocal correlation between the moisture and Brix contents, adding up to 99.5±0.5 %.

2.3 Water insoluble content

10 g honey samples were dissolved in 50mL of distilled water. The samples were filtered using Whatman No.1 pre-weighed filter paper and the filtrate was dried in an oven at 120°C for one hour. Following weighing, the filter papers were dried for another 10 minutes and reweighed. This was repeated until two consecutive readings were the same. Values were multiplied by ten to obtain the weight of water insoluble material in 100 g of honey.

2.4 Electrical Conductivity

20 g dry matter of honey was dissolved in 100 mL distilled water. 40 mL of potassium chloride solution (0.1 M) was transferred to a beaker. The electrical conductance (Jenway, USA) of the sample was read in mS cm⁻¹ after the temperature had equilibrated to 20°C.

2.5 Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF)

The White method for hydroxymethylfurfural was used (International Honey Commission, 2002). Briefly, 5 g of honey was accurately weighed and made to volume, in a 50 mL-volumetric flask, following the addition of 0.5 mL of Carrez solution I and 0.5 mL of Carrez solution II. The solution was filtered and 5.0 mL was pipetted into each of two 2 test tubes (18 x 150 mm). 5.0 mL of distilled water was added to one of the test tubes and mixed well (the sample solution). 5.0 mL of sodium bisulphite solution (0.2 %) was added to the second test tube and mixed well (the reference solution). The absorbance of the sample solution against the reference solution was determined at 284 and 336 nm in 10 mm quartz cells on a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (WPA, Lightwave II, UK) within one hour. If the absorbance at 284 nm exceeded a value of about 0.6, the sample and reference solutions were diluted with distilled water and sodium bisulphite respectively. The HMF content was expressed as



Figure 1: The distribution of honey samples collected from beekeepers on the island of Gozo. Localities for samples: 1& 8 Qala, 2& 3 Nadur, 4 Ghajnsielem, 5 Xaghra, 6 Kercem, 7 Gharb, 9 Sannat, 10 Ghasri

mg kg⁻¹ using the following equation:

$$\text{HMF in mg kg}^{-1} = \frac{(A_{284} - A_{336}) \times 149.7 \times 5 \times DF}{W_S} \quad (1)$$

Where A_{284} and A_{336} represent the absorbance at 284 and 336 nm, respectively, 149.7 is a constant; DF is the dilution factor (where applicable) and W_S is the weight in grams of the honey sample.

2.6 Polyphenolic content

The polyphenolic content was determined spectrophotometrically by the Folin Ciocalteu reagent. About 2.5 g honey samples were dissolved in up to 10 mL of distilled water. 10 μL of the solutions were mixed with 100 μL of Folin Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma, 1:10) and 80 μL of 1 M sodium carbonate (Sigma) in triplicate wells of a microtitre plate (Attard, 2013). The reaction was allowed to stand for 20 minutes at room temperature in the dark, and then read

on a microtitre plate reader (BioTek ELx800, Vermont, USA) at 630 nm. Dilutions of gallic acid, ranging from 0 – 960 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, were used for the standard curve. The polyphenolic content of honey was expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) mg kg⁻¹ of honey.

Total Polyphenolic content in volume,

$$V_{\text{TPC}}(\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}) = \frac{(\text{Abs}_{630} - \text{intercept})}{\text{gradient}} \quad (2)$$

Total Polyphenolic content

$$\text{mg.kg}^{-1} = V_{\text{TPC}} \cdot \frac{V_S}{W_S} \cdot DF \quad (3)$$

Where:

V_S = volume of solvent used (10 mL)

W_S = weight of sample used (g)

DF = dilution factor (10)

Abs_{630} = Absorbance at a wavelength of 630 nm

2.7 Statistical analysis

The pH, total acidity, moisture content, water insoluble content, HMF content and polyphenolic content for all honey samples were investigated with multivariate analysis. The correlation matrix was calculated, giving the correlation coefficients between each pair of variables tested. To identify variability and to reduce the dimensions of the data set, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed, using the XLSTAT Version 2011.5.01 software (Addinsoft, USA).

3 Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the results obtained for the honey samples examined in this study.

3.1 pH and free acidity

The pH ranged between 3.89 and 4.17 with an average value of 3.99. Although this appears to be lower than other Mediterranean types of honey (Thrasyvoulou & Manikis, 1995; Terrab & Heredia, 2004; Terrab, Recamales, Hernanz, & Heredia, 2004), the pH range of Gozitan polyfloral honey was in accordance with that (3.42-6.10) reported by White and Doner, 1980 for floral honey and that (3.5-4.5) found by Bogdanov, Jurendic, Sieber, and Gallmann (2008). The total (titratable) acidity ranged between 26.50 and 47.50 mEq kg⁻¹, falling largely within the range (6.70 – 47.19) reported by White and Doner (1980). Honey sample 6 showed a significantly higher total acidity when compared to the rest. The major acid is gluconic acid (White & Doner, 1980) produced by the action of the glucose oxidase on glucose. Other acids, including some amino acids, aliphatic and aromatic acids, are important contributors to flavour in honey (National Honey Board, 2006).

No correlation was obtained between pH values and titratable acidity. As different honey samples have different buffering capacities, the pH is not necessarily an indication of the titratable acidity. The latter is a determination of the final pH when honey is diluted by a neutralizing medium (Molan, 1992).

3.2 Moisture content

The majority of the honey samples had a moisture content of twenty percent or less. However, lower water content was reported for different honey types in other studies (Thrasyvoulou & Manikis, 1995; Tsigouri & Passaloglou-Katrali, 2000). Samples 2 and 9, both from the same locality (Qala) exhibited a moisture content much higher than 20 %. A high moisture content may be indicative of prolonged exposure of the honey to humid air during extraction, or a high proportion of unsealed cells within honey which has yet to mature. A high relative humidity is one of the main problems in the Maltese Islands. However, a controlled working environment, with low relative humidity, and adequately-filled (low head space) and sealed honey containers, are key factors in limiting the absorption of water vapour from the air.

3.3 Water insoluble content

This parameter measures the insoluble matter in honey which includes pollen, honey-comb debris, insect fragments and other foreign bodies like unwanted particles. Hence, it indicates honey cleanliness. Wax is the major source of water-insoluble contamination (Bogdanov et al., 1999). The values ranged from 0.05 to 0.31 %. The limit for this parameter is 0.1 % (Justice Services, 2004). Only three samples out of ten were within this limit. Due to the short period between honey harvest and testing, not enough time was allowed for water insoluble matter to settle. The filling point of the sample jars also affects the end result. If jars were filled from the surface of the bulk storage honey container, where large concentrations of minute wax particles are present, then this filling point would lead to false high results in the sample analysed.

3.4 Electrical Conductivity

The mean electrical conductivity of the honey samples was 0.883 mS cm⁻¹. This was higher than that reported in several studies, where the electrical conductivity did not exceed 0.800 mS cm⁻¹ (European Commission, 2002; Huido-

Table 1: Physicochemical characteristics for the Gozitan honey samples

	pH	Moisture Content %	Acidity (mEq kg ⁻¹)	HMF (mg kg ⁻¹)	WIC (%w/w)	Conductivity mS cm ⁻¹	Polyphenols (mg GAE kg ⁻¹)
1	3.950±0.010	20.05±0.050	46.000±0.000	14.171±0.649	0.11	1.015	264.715±1.456
2	4.000±0.000	22.5±0.100	43.000±0.000	10.626±0.456	0.31	0.725	280.916±8.995
3	4.000±0.000	19.65±0.050	37.000±1.000	14.371±1.507	0.12	0.862	261.326±2.563
4	3.950±0.010	19.05±0.050	33.000±1.000	7.385±0.956	0.14	0.777	236.555±1.236
5	3.960±0.000	20.3±0.000	35.500±0.500	8.833±0.915	0.06	1.104	258.423±1.741
6	3.890±0.010	20.3±0.100	47.500±1.500	7.585±0.908	0.06	0.732	238.893±0.000
7	4.170±0.010	19.75±0.050	27.500±0.500	15.819±1.510	0.11	0.851	269.093±0.000
8	4.120±0.000	19.6±0.000	34.500±0.500	14.920±1.151	0.05	0.964	294.209±2.274
9	3.900±0.000	21.3±0.100	26.500±0.500	2.894±0.506	0.13	1.009	240.306±1.629
10	3.960±0.000	19.75±0.050	30.500±0.500	20.858±0.932	0.12	0.794	262.291±1.940

bro and Simal, 1984; Accorti, Persano-Oddo, Piazza, and Sabatini, 1986; Serra-Bonvehí, 1989; Martínez-Gómez, Guerra-Hernández, Montilla-Gómez, and Molins-Marin, 1993; Owayss, 2005). Due to the small size of the island, atmospheric and soil salinity is relatively high (PAP/RAC, 2005; Vella, 2001), hence leading to higher conductivity values in the final product. This is reflected in the accumulation of proline due to increased stress caused by salinity (Jouve, Hoffmann, & Hausman, 2004).

3.5 Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF)

HMF content ranged significantly for the honey samples studied. Half the samples exhibited an HMF value of 10 mg kg⁻¹ or lower. High HMF values are expected when the honey is heated prior to bottling, or when it deteriorates with prolonged storage. In this case, the high HMF values were mainly due to heating. This is because the local population prefer liquefied honey, which involves heating. One other possible reason is exposure to the hot climate. Mean HMF content for Greek honey samples did not exceed 5.6 mg kg⁻¹ according to the study conducted by Thrasyvoulou and Manikis (1995). It is usually agreed that fresh honey contains minimal amounts of HMF (White, 1979), that rarely exceeds the 10 mg kg⁻¹ value (Rodgers, 1979). However, for the local samples, as in other subtropical countries, the HMF values are still within the 80 mg kg⁻¹ maximum content (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 1989). HMF

quantification is definitely an important parameter linked to the shelf-life of the honey.

3.6 Polyphenolic content of honey

The polyphenolic content of Gozitan honey ranged between 236.555 – 294.209 mg GAE kg⁻¹. These honey types exhibited higher polyphenolic contents than coconut honey, which was reported as 15.6 mg kg⁻¹ by Aljadi and Kamaruddin (2004) and acacia and fir honey samples which were respectively reported as having values of 44.8 and 5 mg kg⁻¹ (Bertoncelj, Dobersek, M. & Golob, 2007). On the other hand, Beretta, Granata, Ferrero, Orioli, and Facino (2005), reported polyphenolic contents of 789.6 mg kg⁻¹ for strawberry tree honey and 482.2 mg kg⁻¹ for buckwheat honey, superior to the honey samples under study.

3.7 Statistical Analysis

It was observed that from the screen plot (data not shown) that the first five principal components account for 96.88 % of the total variance. This indicates that there is little numerical noise and/or experimental error. However, the first two principal components contributed significantly to the total variance. The loading plot provides the direction of each original variable, and the scores' plot, indicates the position of each honey sample. The first factor is loaded heavily on pH, HMF content and polyphenolic content, representing variables that are all chemical in nature. The second factor is heavily loaded




Figure 2: Loading plot (A) of physicochemical parameters and scores' plot (B) of various honey samples from different zones within the island of Gozo.

on water insoluble content and moisture content. The sugar content derived from the moisture content showed an inverse correlation with HMF content, indicating that the HMF build up depends on the dissipation of sugars through the Maillard reaction. Acidity showed an inverse relationship with conductivity because there are other contributing ions as well as hydrogen ions. Even water insoluble content exhibited an inverse relationship with conductivity (Fig. 2A). This is because conductivity is a measure of the content of soluble salts.

The scores' plot reported in Fig. 2B shows the physicochemical parameters of honey in the space of the two new variables F1 and F2. Moving along F1 from left to right in the graph, we find different patterns of grouping with honey samples (4, 6 and 9) having low pH, HMF content and polyphenolic content from the southern zone of Gozo while honey samples (3, 7, 8 and 10) have higher values for these parameters from the northern zone of Gozo. Honey sample 5 from the central part of the island exhibited intermediate values for the parameters mentioned. Honey samples (2, 3, 7 and 10) from the northern zone of Gozo exhibited distinctively lower conductivity values, but higher water insoluble content than

those from the southern zone.

4 Conclusions

The aim of the work was to identify differences in physicochemical parameters of honey sources originating from a small island. Though the honey samples showed different physicochemical characteristics, chemometric (polyphenolic content) and environmental indicators (electrical conductivity, acidity) clearly suggest that honey samples originate from different zones even though the island of Gozo is very small. It is implied that floral and soil substrates play an important role in the characterisation of honey. The findings from this study can provide the melissopalynologist with an idea of floral variations within different zones of a studied region.

References

Accorti, M., Persano-Oddo, L., Piazza, M., & Sabatini, A. (1986). Schede di caratterizzazione delle principali qualità di miele italiano. *Apicoltura*, 2(36), 1325.

- Aljadi, A., & Kamaruddin, M. (2004). Evaluation of the phenolic contents and antioxidant capacities of two Malaysian floral honeys. *Food Chemistry*, *85*(4), 513–518. doi:[10.1016/S0308-8146\(02\)00596-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00596-4)
- Attard, E. (2013). A rapid microtitre plate Folin-Ciocalteu method for the assessment of polyphenols. *Central European Journal of Biology*, *8*(1), 48–53. doi:[10.2478/s11535-012-0107-3](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11535-012-0107-3)
- Beretta, G., Granata, P., Ferrero, M., Orioli, M., & Facino, R. (2005). Standardization of antioxidant properties of honey by a combination of spectrophotometric/fluorimetric assays and chemometrics. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, *533*(2), 185–191. doi:[10.1016/j.aca.2004.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2004.11.010)
- Bertoncelj, J., Dobersek, U., M., J., & Golob, T. (2007). Evaluation of the phenolic content, antioxidant activity and colour of slovenian honey. *Food Chemistry*, *105*(2), 822–828. doi:[10.1016/j.2007.01.060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.2007.01.060)
- Bogdanov, S., Lullmann, C., Martin, P., von der Ohe, W., Russmann, H., Vorwohl, G, ... Commission, I. H. (1999). Honey quality and international regulatory standards: review by the International Honey Commission. *Bee World*, *80*(2), 61–69.
- Bogdanov, S., Jurendic, T., Sieber, R., & Gallmann, P. (2008). Honey for Nutrition and Health: A Review. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, *27*(6), 677–689.
- Codex Alimentarius Commission. (1989). Codex standard for honey (worldwide standard). FAO-WHO, CAC 3, Ed 1 Supp 2, Rome.
- Crane, E. (1983). The archeology of beekeeping. UK: Gerarld Duckworth & Co. Ltd.
- European Commission. (2002). Council Directive 2001/110/EC. *Official Journal*, *L 010*, 47–52.
- Huidobro, J., & Simal, J. (1984). Determinacion del color y la turbidez en las mieles. *anales de bromatologica. Apicultural Abstracts*, *648/1988*, *36*(2), 225–245.
- International Honey Commission. (2002). Harmonised Methods of the International Honey Commission. Bern Switzerland: Swiss Bee Research Centre, pp. 62.
- Jouve, L, Hoffmann, L, & Hausman, J. (2004). Polyamine, carbohydrate, and proline content changes during salt stress exposure of aspen (*Populus tremula* L.): Involvement of oxidation and osmoregulation metabolism. *Plant Biology*, *6*(1), 74–80. doi:[10.1055/s-2003-44687](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2003-44687)
- Justice Services. (2004). Legal Notice 213 - Subsidiary Legislation 449.38, Government of Malta, Honey Regulations, S.L.449.38, 1-5.
- Lanfranco, G. (2001). Medicina popolari ta' l-imghoddi fil-gzejjer maltin. *valletta: klabb kotba maltin*, *4*, 69, 110–1, 117, 126, 140, 218.
- Martinez-Gomez, M., Guerra-Hernandez, E., Montilla-Gomez, J., & Molins-Marin, J. (1993). Physicochemical analysis of spanish commercial eucalyptus honeys. *J. Apic. Res.* *32*(3/4), 121–126.
- Molan, P. (1992). The antibacterial activity of honey: 1. the nature of the antibacterial activity. *Bee World*, *73*(1), 5–28.
- National Honey Board. (2006). pH & Acids in Honey Fact Sheet. Food Technology Program. May 28, 2007. Retrieved from <http://www.honey.com/images/downloads/ph-acidsinhoney.pdf>
- Owayss, A. A. (2005). Physicochemical analysis for standardizing quality criteria of libyan eucalyptus (*eucalyptus* sp.) honey. *Egypt J. of Appl. Sci.* *20*(6A), 247–255.
- PAP/RAC. (2005). Priority Actions Programme Regional Activity Centre. Coastal Area Management in the Maltese Islands, Priority Actions Programme Regional Activity Centre, Split.
- Rodgers, P. (1979). Honey quality control. In E. Crane, eds: *Honey, A Comprehensive Survey*, Heinemann, London, 314–325.
- Serra-Bonvehí, J. (1989). Características físico-químicas y composición de la miel de eucalipto (*eucalyptus* sp.) producción en España. *Anales de Bromatología*, *41*(1), 41–56.
- Sheppard, W., Arias, M., Grech, A, & Meixner, M. (1997). *Apis mellifera ruttneri*, a new honey bee subspecies from Malta. *Apidologie*, *28*(5), 287–293. doi:[10.1051/apido:19970505](https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:19970505)
- Terrab, A, & Heredia, F. (2004). Characterisation of avocado (*Pleirsea americana* Mill) honeys by their physicochemical charac-

- teristics. *Journal of The Science of Food and Agriculture*, 84(13), 1801–1805. doi:[10.1002/jsfa.1888](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.1888)
- Terrab, A, Recamales, A., Hernanz, D, & Heredia, F. (2004). Characterisation of Spanish thyme honeys by their physicochemical characteristics and mineral contents. *Food Chemistry*, 88(4), 537–542. doi:[10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.068)
- Thrasylvoulou, A, & Manikis, J. (1995). Some physicochemical and microscopic characteristics of Greek unifloral honeys. *Apidologie*, 26(6), 441–452. doi:[10.1051/apido:19950601](https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:19950601)
- Tsigouri, A, & Passaloglou-Katrali, M. (2000). A scientific note on the characteristics of thyme honey from the Greek island of Kithira. *Apidologie*, 31(3), 457–458. doi:[10.1051/apido:2000137](https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2000137)
- Vella, S. (2001). Soil Information in the Maltese Islands. In P. Zdruli, P. Steduto, C. Lacirignola, L. Montanarella, Bari (Eds.): Soil Resources of Southern and Eastern Mediterranean Countries. CIHEAM, [ISBN:2-85352-227-X]. *Options Méditerranéennes, B*, 34, 171–198.
- White, J. (1979). Composition of honey. In E. Crane (Eds.): *Honey, A Comprehensive Survey*, Heinemann, London, 157–206.
- White, J., & Doner, L. (1980). Honey composition and properties. *Beekeeping in the United States Agriculture Handbook Number*, 335, 82–91.